Chapter

Logic Notions

1.1 Notions

Definition 1.1.1

- We call any relation *P* that is either true or false a "logical proposition".
- When the proposition is true, it is assigned the value 1.
- When the proposition is false, it is assigned the value 0.
- These values are called "Truth values of the proposition".

Example 1.1.2

r

1. "I am taller than you", is a proposition.

- 2. $"2 + 2 = 4"$ is a proposition.
- 3. " $2 \times 3 = 7$ " is a proposition.
- 4. "For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$; we have $x^2 \geq 0$ " is a proposition.
- 5. "How are you today ?" is not a proposition.

Thus, to define a logical proposition, it suffices to give its truth values. Generally, these values are put into a table called a "Truth table".

1.1.1 Logical Operations

[J **Definition 1.1.3 Negation :** \overline{P} **"**

Given a logical proposition *P*, we call the negation of *P* the logical proposition \overline{P} , which is false when *P* is true and true when *P* is false, so we can represent it as follows :

Definition 1.1.4 Conjunction : "∧**"**

Let *P* and *Q* be two logical propositions, we call "conjunction" of *P* and *Q* the proposition "*P* ∧*Q* ", which

is true when both *P* and *Q* are true and false otherwise. Its truth table :

Definition 1.1.5 Disjunction "∨**"**

Let *P* and *Q* be two logical propositions, we call "disjunction" of *P* and *Q* the proposition " $P \vee Q$ ", which is true if either of the logical propositions *P* or *Q* is true. Its truth table :

Definition 1.1.6 Implication "⇒**"**

Consider two logical propositions *P* and *Q*, we denote " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " the logical proposition that is false if *P* is true and *Q* is false. The proposition $P \Rightarrow Q$ reads "*P* implies *Q* ".

Given two logical propositions P and $Q,$ the truth table of $\overline{P} \vee Q$ is as follows :

We see that this table is identical to that of $P \Rightarrow Q$, so we say that the proposition $P \Rightarrow Q$ is equivalent to the proposition $\overline{P} \vee Q$:

Definition 1.1.7 Equivalence "⇔**"**

We say that the two logical propositions *P* and *Q* are logically equivalent if they are true simultaneously or false simultaneously, and we denote $"P \Leftrightarrow Q" ,$ its truth table is :

1.1.2 DeMorgan's Rules

Proposition 1.1.8

Let *P* and *Q* be two logical propositions, then :

- 1. $\overline{P \wedge Q} \Leftrightarrow \overline{P} \vee \overline{Q}$.
- 2. $\overline{P \vee Q} \Leftrightarrow \overline{P} \wedge \overline{Q}$.

Proof

We establish the proof of these rules by giving the truth values of the corresponding logical propositions. 1.

2.

1.2 Quantifiers

1.2.1 Universal Quantifier ∀**, or "for all"**

A proposition P may depend on a parameter x. For example : $x^2 \geq 1$ " the assertion $P(x)$ is true or false depending on the value of *x*.

$|\mathscr{G}|$ **Definition 1.2.1**

 $\forall x \in E, P(x)$, is true when the propositions $P(x)$ are true for all elements *x* in the set *E*. We read "For all *x* belonging to $E, P(x)$ ".

Example 1.2.2

1. $\forall x \in [1; +\infty[$; $x^2 \ge 1$ is a true proposition.

- 2. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$; $x^2 \geq 1$ is a false proposition.
- 3. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$; $n(n+1)$ is divisible by 2 is true.

1.2.2 Existential Quantifier ∃**, or "there exists"**

V **Definition 1.2.3**

 $\exists x \in E; P(x)$, is true when we can find at least one *x* from *E* for which $P(x)$ is true. We read "there exists" *x* belonging to *E* such that $P(x)$ is true".

Example 1.2.4

1. ∃ $x \in \mathbb{R}$; $x(x-1) < 0$ is true.

2. $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$; $n^2 - n > n$ is true.

3. $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$; $x^2 = -4$ is false.

1.2.3 Negation of Quantifiers

Definition 1.2.5

- 1. The negation of " $\forall x \in E$; $P(x)$ " is " $\exists x \in E$; $\overline{P(x)}$ ".
- For example, the negation of " $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$; $x^2 \ge 1$ " is the assertion " $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$; $x^2 < 1$ ".
- 2. The negation of " $\exists x \in E$; $P(x)$ " is " $\forall x \in E$; $\overline{P(x)}$ ". For example, the negation of " $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}; n^2 - n > n$ " is the assertion " $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}; n^2 - n \leq n$ ".
- 3. Negation of complex sentences : for example, the proposition " $\forall x \in E$, $\exists y \in E$; $P(x; y)$ " its negation is " $\exists x \in E, \forall y \in E; \overline{P(x; y)}$ ".
	- For example, the negation of " $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, x + y > 0$ " is " $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, x + y \leq 0$ ".

Note 1.2.6

The order of quantifiers is very important. For example, the two logical sentences

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}x + y > 0,
$$

and

$$
\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}x + y > 0,
$$

are different.

The first one is true, the second one is false. Indeed, the first sentence asserts that "For every real number *x*, there exists a real number *y* (which may depend on *x*) such that $x + y > 0$ " (for example, for a given *x* we can take $y = -x + 1$. So, it is a true sentence. On the other hand, the second one reads : "There exists a real number *y*, such that for every real number *x*, $x + y > 0$. This sentence is false, it cannot be the same *y* that works for all *x*.

1.3 Types of Reasoning

Definition 1.3.1 Direct Reasoning

We want to show that the proposition " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is true. We assume that *P* is true and then show that *Q* is true.

Show that
\n
$$
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad x \le y \Rightarrow x \le \frac{x+y}{2} \le y.
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{y \ge x} \text{Proof}
$$
\nWe have
\n
$$
x \le y \Rightarrow x + x \le x + y \Rightarrow 2x \le x + y \Rightarrow x \le \frac{x+y}{2}.
$$
\n
$$
y \ge x \Rightarrow y + y \le x + y \Rightarrow 2y \ge x + y \Rightarrow y \ge \frac{x+y}{2}.
$$
\nFrom (1.1) and (1.2) we have:

$$
x\leq \frac{x+y}{2}\leq y.
$$

 (1.1)

 (1.2)

So

$$
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad x \le y \Rightarrow x \le \frac{x+y}{2} \le y,
$$

is true.

Definition 1.3.3 Case by Case

If we want to verify a proposition $P(x)$ for all *x* in a set *E*, we show the proposition $P(x)$ for $x \in A \subset E$, and then for $x \notin A$.

Example 1.3.4

Show that : $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$; $|x-1| \leq x^2 - x + 1$.

Proof

\n1. If

\n
$$
x \ge 1, \quad |x - 1| = x - 1.
$$
\nThen,

\n
$$
x^2 - x + 1 - |x - 1| = x^2 - x + 1 - x + 1 = x^2 - 2x + 2 = (x - 1)^2 + 1 \ge 0.
$$
\nSo

\n
$$
x^2 - x + 1 \ge |x - 1|.
$$
\n2. If

\n
$$
x < 1, |x - 1| = -(x - 1).
$$
\nThen,

\n
$$
x^2 - x + 1 - |x - 1| = x^2 - x + 1 - (-x + 1) = x^2 - x + 1 + x - 1 = x^2 \ge 0.
$$
\nSo

\n
$$
x^2 - x + 1 \ge |x - 1|.
$$
\nConclusion, in all cases

\n
$$
\forall x, \quad \in |x - 1| \le x^2 - x + 1.
$$

Definition 1.3.5 Contrapositive

Reasoning by "contraposition" is based on the following equivalence :

$$
(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\overline{Q} \Rightarrow \overline{P}).
$$

So, if we want to show the assertion " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " we actually show that if *Q* is true. Then, *P* is true.

Example 1.3.6

Show that : $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$; n^2 is even then *n* is even.

Proof

We want to show that if n^2 is odd \Rightarrow *n* is odd.

 $∀ n$ is odd, then there exists $k ∈ ℕ$ such that $n = 2k + 1$ Then, $n^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1 = 2k' + 1$. So, n^2 is odd.

Definition 1.3.7 Absurd

Reasoning by "absurd" to show that " $P \Rightarrow Q$ " is based on the following principle : we assume both that *P* is true and *Q* is false. We seek a contradiction. Thus if *P* is true then *Q* must be true and therefore $P \Rightarrow Q^*$ is true.

Example 1.3.8

Show that :
$$
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+
$$
. If,
\n
$$
\frac{x}{1+y} = \frac{y}{1+x}.
$$

Then, $x = y$.

Proof

We assume that $\frac{x}{1+y} = \frac{y}{1+y}$ $\frac{y}{1+x}$ and $x \neq y$. Since *x* $\frac{x}{1+y} = \frac{y}{1+y}$ $\frac{9}{1+x}$ Then, So $x + x^2 = y + y^2$. Hence $x^2 - y^2 = -x + y.$ So,

$$
x(1+x) = y(1+y).
$$

$$
(x - y)(x + y) = -(x - y).
$$

Since $x \neq y$. Then, $x - y \neq 0$ and so by dividing by $x - y$ we get $x + y = -1$ this is a contradiction(the sum of two positive numbers is positive). Conclusion, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If,

$$
\frac{x}{1+y} = \frac{y}{1+x}.
$$

Then, $x = y$.

Definition 1.3.9 Counterexample

By counterexample to show that " $\forall x \in E$; $P(x)$ " is false. It suffices to find $x \in E$, such that $P(x)$ is false.

Example 1.3.10

Show that "every positive integer is the sum of three squares" is false.

Proof

Let's take a counterexample. Consider the integer $n = 7$, the squares less than 7 are 0; 1; 4 but $0+1+4 \neq 7$.

Definition 1.3.11 Recurrence

The principle of "recurrence" allows us to show that a proposition $P(n)$ depending on *n*, is true for all *n* ∈ N. The proof by recurrence proceeds in three steps :

- 1. **Initialization** : we verify that $P(0)$ is true.
- 2. **Heredity** : we assume $n > 0$ given with $P(n)$ true. Then, we demonstrate that the proposition $P(n+1)$ at the next rank is true.

 $\overline{1}$

3. **Conclusion** : we recall that by the principle of recurrence $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Show that **Example 1.3.12**

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad P(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.
$$

Proof

- 1. Initialization : For $n = 0$, we have $0^2 = 0$. So, $P(0)$ is true.
- 2. Heredity : For $n > 0$, we assume that $P(n)$ is true, i.e.

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6},
$$

is true, and we show that

$$
P(n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k^2 = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2(n+1)+1)}{6} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k^2 = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6},
$$

is true.

 $P(n)$ is true so

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2} = 0^{2} + 1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.
$$

We have :

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k^2 = 0^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 + (n+1)^2,
$$

=
$$
\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2,
$$

=
$$
\frac{(n+1)(n+2) + (2n+3)}{6},
$$

=
$$
\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6}.
$$

Hence $P(n+1)$ is true.

3. Conclusion,

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.
$$