

# Chapter 3

## Binary Relations on a Set

### Definition 3.0.1

A binary relation is defined as any proposition between two objects, which can be either true or false. We denote it as  $x\mathcal{R}y$  and read it as " $x$  is related to  $y$ ".

### Example 3.0.2

1. The inequality " $\leq$ " is a binary relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $\mathbb{R}$ .
2. Parallelism " $\parallel$ " and orthogonality " $\perp$ " are binary relations on the set of lines in a plane or space.
3. The inclusion " $\subset$ " is a binary relation on  $P(E)$  or on  $E$ . The relation "greater than" on the set of students is a binary relation.

### Definition 3.0.3

Given a binary relation  $\mathcal{R}$  between elements of a non-empty set  $E$ , we say that :

1.  $\mathcal{R}$  is Reflexive

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in E, \quad x\mathcal{R}x.$$

2.  $\mathcal{R}$  is Transitive

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x; y; z \in E, \quad (x\mathcal{R}y) \wedge (y\mathcal{R}z) \Rightarrow (x\mathcal{R}z).$$

3.  $\mathcal{R}$  is Symmetric

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x; y \in E, \quad (x\mathcal{R}y) \Rightarrow (y\mathcal{R}x).$$

4.  $\mathcal{R}$  is Anti-Symmetric

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x; y \in E, \quad (x\mathcal{R}y) \wedge (y\mathcal{R}x) \Rightarrow x = y.$$

## 3.1 Equivalence Relation

### Definition 3.1.1

We say that a binary relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on a set  $E$  is an equivalence relation if it is Reflexive, Symmetric and Transitive.

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $E$ .

### Definition 3.1.2

1. Two elements  $x$  and  $y \in E$  are *equivalent* if  $x\mathcal{R}y$ .
2. The equivalence class of an element  $x \in E$ , denoted as  $\dot{x}$ , is the set :

$$\dot{x} = \{y \in E, \quad x\mathcal{R}y\}.$$

3.  $x$  is called a representative of the equivalence class  $\dot{x}$ .
4. The quotient set of  $E$  by the equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$ , denoted as  $E/\mathcal{R}$ , is the set of equivalence classes of all elements of  $E$ .
5.  $\dot{x} = \dot{y} \Leftrightarrow x\mathcal{R}y$ .

**Example 3.1.3**

In  $\mathbb{R}$ , we define the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  by :

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}; x\mathcal{R}y \Leftrightarrow x^2 - 1 = y^2 - 1.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation and give the quotient set  $\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{R}$ .

1.  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation.

(a)  $\mathcal{R}$  is Reflexive, because

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x^2 - 1 = x^2 - 1 \Rightarrow x\mathcal{R}x.$$

(b)  $\mathcal{R}$  is Symmetric, because

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x\mathcal{R}y &\Rightarrow x^2 - 1 = y^2 - 1. \\ &\Rightarrow y^2 - 1 = x^2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since equality is symmetric

$$\Rightarrow y\mathcal{R}x.$$

(c)  $\mathcal{R}$  is Transitive, because

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x; y; z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (x\mathcal{R}y) \wedge (y\mathcal{R}z) &\Rightarrow (x^2 - 1 = y^2 - 1). \\ &y^2 - 1 = z^2 - 1. \\ &\Rightarrow (x^2 - 1 = z^2 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

because equality is Transitive.

$$\Rightarrow x\mathcal{R}z.$$

From i), ii), and iii), we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation.

2. Determine the quotient set  $\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{R}$ .

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x\mathcal{R}y &\Leftrightarrow x^2 - 1 = y^2 - 1, \\ &\Leftrightarrow x^2 - y^2 = 0, \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x - y)(x + y) = 0, \\ &\Leftrightarrow (y = x) \vee (y = -x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{x} = \{-x, x\}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{R} = \{\{-x, x\}, x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.4**

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $E$ . Then, the equivalence classes form a partition of  $E$ , meaning that every equivalence class is non-empty, the union of equivalence classes is equal to  $E$ , two equivalence classes are either disjoint or identical,

$$\forall x, y \in E; \dot{x} \cap \dot{y} = \phi, \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{x} = \dot{y}.$$

## 3.2 Order Relation



### Definition 3.2.1

An order relation on a set  $E$  is any binary relation that is Reflexive, Anti-Symmetric, and Transitive. We say that  $(E; \mathcal{R})$  is an ordered set (by  $\mathcal{R}$ ) an order relation is often denoted as  $\leq$ .



### Example 3.2.2

The relation " $\subset$ " is an order relation on  $P(E)$ .

1. " $\subset$ " is Reflexive, because  $\forall A \in P(E)$ , we have  $A \subset A$ .
2. " $\subset$ " is Transitive, because

$$\forall A, B, C \in P(E), \quad (A \subset B) \wedge (B \subset C) \Rightarrow A \subset C.$$

3. " $\subset$ " is Anti-Symmetric, because

$$\forall A, B \in P(E), \quad (A \subset B) \wedge (B \subset A) \Rightarrow A = B.$$

From (1), (2), and (3) we deduce that " $\subset$ " is an order relation on  $E$ .



### Example 3.2.3

1. The relations " $\leq$ ", " $\geq$ " are order relations on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
2. The relation "/" (divides) is an order relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

### 3.2.1 Total or Partial Order



### Definition 3.2.4

Let  $E$  be a set equipped with a relation of order  $\mathcal{X}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a total order relation if

$$\forall x, y \in E, \quad x \mathcal{R} y \text{ or } y \mathcal{X} x.$$

Otherwise, we say that the order is partial, meaning that  $\exists x, y \in E$ ;  $x$  is not related to  $y$ , and  $y$  is not related to  $x$ .



### Example 3.2.5

1. The relations " $\geq$ ", " $\leq$ " are total order relations on  $\mathbb{N}$ ;  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;  $\mathbb{R}$ .
2. The relation "/" (divides) is a partial order relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
3. The relation " $\subset$ " is a partial order relation on  $P(E)$ .

### 3.2.2 Least Element, Greatest Element



### Definition 3.2.6

Let  $(E, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $A$  a subset of  $E$ .

1. We say that  $m \in A$  is the least element of  $A$  (or a minimum) if :

$$\forall x \in A, \quad m \leq x.$$


2. We say that  $M \in A$  is the greatest element of  $A$  (or a maximum) if :

$$\forall x \in A, \quad x \leq M.$$

 **Proposition 3.2.7**

[Uniqueness of the minimum and maximum] Let  $(E, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $A$  a subset of  $E$ .

1. If  $A$  has a minimum, it is unique : we denote it  $\min A$ .
2. If  $A$  has a maximum, it is unique : we denote it  $\max A$ .


 **Example 3.2.8**

We define on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the order relation  $\mathcal{R}$  as follows :

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^*, n\mathcal{R}m \Leftrightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N}^* : m = kn.$$

1. The least element of  $\mathbb{Z}^*$  by  $\mathcal{R}$  is 1
2.  $\mathbb{Z}$  does not have a greatest element. Let  $A, B$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}^*$  such that,  $A = \{2, -6, -10, -14, -18, -20\}$ ,  $B = \{7, 6, 2, 3, -42\}$  Determine the least element ( $\min$ ), the greatest element ( $\max$ ) of  $A; B$  by the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  if they exist.
3.  $\min A = 2$ .
4.  $\max A = \nexists$ .
5.  $\min B = \nexists$ .
6.  $\max B = -42$ .

### 3.2.3 Upper Bound, Lower Bound

 **Definition 3.2.9**

Let  $(E, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $A$  a subset of  $E$ .

1. We say that  $m \in E$  is a lower bound of  $A$  if

$$\forall x \in A, m \leq x.$$


We say that  $A$  is bounded below.

We say that  $M \in E$  is an upper bound of  $A$  if

$$\forall x \in A, x \leq M.$$


2. We say that  $A$  is bounded above.
3. We say that  $A$  is bounded if it is bounded below and above.

### 3.2.4 Infimum and Supremum

 **Definition 3.2.10**

Let  $(E, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $A$  a subset of  $E$ .

1. If the set of lower bounds of  $A$  has a greatest element, we call it the infimum of  $A$  and denote it  $\inf A$ .
2. If the set of upper bounds of  $A$  has a least element, we call it the supremum of  $A$  and denote it  $\sup A$ .

 **Proposition 3.2.11**

Let  $(E, \leq)$  be an ordered set and  $A$  a subset of  $E$

1. If  $A$  has a minimum, then it has an infimum and  $\min A = \inf A$ .
2. If  $A$  has a maximum, then it has a supremum and  $\max A = \sup A$ .

**Note 3.2.12**

The least element of  $A$  ( $\min A$ ), if it exists, is a lower bound of  $A$ , however, a lower bound of  $A$  may not be the least element of  $A$  since it may not necessarily be in  $A$ . Similarly for the greatest element ( $\max A$ ) and the upper bound. This remark expresses that the reverse implication in Proposition 3.2.11 is not always true

**Example 3.2.13**

Let  $E = \{1, a, 2, 5, \gamma\}$ , consider  $(P(E), \subset)$  ordered, and let  $A$  be a subset of  $P(E)$ .

$$A = \{\{a, 2\}, \{2, 5, \gamma\}, \{1, 2, \gamma\}, \{a, 2, 5\}\}.$$

Then,

1. The lower bounds of  $A$  are :  $\phi$  and  $\{2\}$ .
2.  $\inf A = \{2\}$ .
3.  $A$  does not have a least element, because  $\inf A \notin A$ .
4. The only upper bound of  $A$  is :  $E = \{1, a, 2, 5, \gamma\}$ .
5.  $\sup A = E$ .
6.  $A$  does not have a greatest element, because  $\sup A \notin A$ .